### This paper not to be cited without prior reference to the author

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea

C.M. 1974/E31 The Later to not the more set to the parameter aver any Fish. Imp. Committee

# (Olooschenko, 1969 and Gutkneent, 1964). Field morauremonts of metals in THE MOVEMENT OF HEAVY METALS IN A MARINE ECOSYSTEM

plankton is largely dictated by surface adsorption and ion erchange processes

Recent studies by Rileyd and Noth (1971) in which they
 of motals in cultured phytoplankton and phytoplanktod

Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen, Scotland Digitalization sponsored Summary

THÜNEN

This paper examines the question of trace metal variations in seawater and phytoplankton activity. Calculations of the rates of metal removal by phytoplankton are made for the open ocean and coastal waters. The results suggest that present day surveys of trace metals in seawater, with their inherent deficiencies regarding sampling and analyses, have little hope of relating trace metal levels in seawater to phytoplankton activity.

# Introduction ended to here the Lievon to thereword (abbridge to) Inwithout

Most publications dealing with trace metal studies in marine systems inevitably refer to the importance of phytoplankton in the cycling of metals in the sea. Many trace metals in marine waters are known to be concentrated by phytoplankton (Riley and Roth, 1971) and one might assume that this mechanism could represent a significant removal of metal from sea water. Surprisingly few attempts have been made however to estimate this uptake and when attempts have been made they have invariably been based on a single element for a particular area of the sea (Chow and Patterson, 1966 (lead) and Spencer and Brewer, 1969 (copper)). Attempts have also been made to relate trace metal levels in sea water directly with phytoplankton activity but these have met with little success (Menzel and Spaeth, 1962 (iron) and Alexander and Corcoran, 1965 (copper)). Despite this lack of success some workers in this field (Dutton et al., 1974) continue to refer to the possibility of phytoplankton affecting the spatial distribution of metals in the sea. Considering the amount of work presently devoted to trace metal studies in relation to pollution and food chain studies the subject of trace metals and phytoplankton activity deserves further study.

Under what conditions would there be significant removal of trace metals from sea water by phytoplankton? Can present-day surveys, concerned with trace metal distribution in the sea, possibly hope to relate differences in trace metal content of sea water to phytoplankton or are the differences observed largely dependent on other factors (i.e. sampling, inputs or other loss mechanisms). This paper examines these questions by calculating the removal of metal from sea water by phytoplankton under the wide range of conditions observed in the sea. Use is made of existing data on trace metal levels in sea water, concentration factors for phytoplankton and the relevant primary productivity and hydrographic data. In keeping with trace metal studies conducted by this laboratory these calculations will be confined to the toxic heavy metals copper, cadmium and lead.

#### Data used in calculations

#### Concentration factors for marine phytoplankton

Concentration factors (C.F.) are defined as the ratio of the element in or associated with an organism to the concentration in the medium under equilibrium conditions.

#### This paper not to be cited without prior reference to the author

for the Exploration of the Search and at fatur to not determined to the formation of the Search and at fatur to not determined to the

C.M. 1974/E31

estimation in largely district in satisated that the secondistion of notel by
plantton in largely districted by surface cleerption and ion erebuse processes
(Gleenchede, 1969 and Cuthatelit, 1964). Field recommercits of metals in
plytoplanttom MATEXEORE ENTRANY (NI-ZLATEM TVEMP '90' ITTEMP WOW '94H' ebritud
chich ales necessitate and which may be collected in the same 
redel alor necessitates motals and which may be collected in they
compared lavels of metals and which may be collected in they
compared lavels of metals in cultured vistor may be collected in they
compared lavels of metals in cultured vistor may be collected in they
compared lavels of metals in cultured vistor (1971) in which they
compared lavels of metals for alor plantton and vistor may be
compared lavels of metals for alor which when ''s' and they
compared lavels of metals of the vistor were intervied with they
compared lavels of metals for alor which vistor were vistor and vistor and
compared lavels of metals for alor which vistor were vistor and vistor were
compared lavels of metals of alor which vistor were vistor and vistor and
compared lavels of metals for alor which vistor were vistor and vistor were
compared of the vistor wind, vistor were vistor and vistor and
compared of motals is review by vistor were obstanded from the vistor of the vistor were vistor and of the vistor vistor were vistor and of the vistor were vistor were vistor were vistor were vistor with the vistor vis

This paper examines the question of trace metal variations in seawater and a phytoplankton activity. Calculations of the rates of metal removal by phytoplankton are made for the open ocean and coastal waters. The results ? suggest that present day surveys of trace metals in seawater, with their of inherent deficiencies regarding sampling and analyses; have little hope has of relating trace metal levels in seawater to phytoplankton activity. Seawarg the high production of a given position in an appointing and Introduction exchalle count bellenge is there are a found to legal the anti-Most publications dealing with trace metal studies in marine systems i rodan inevitably refer to the importance of phytoplankton in the cycling of metals in the sea. Many trace metals in marine waters are known to be concentrated by phytoplankton (Riley and Roth, 1971) and one might assume that this mechanism could represent a significant removal of metal from sea water. 122 Surprisingly few attempts have been made however to estimate this uptake off and when attempts have been made they have invariably been based on a times single element for a particular area of the sea (Chow and Patterson, 1966 of "(lead) and Spencer and Brewer, 1969 (copper)): "Attempts have also been for made to relate trace metal levels in sea water directly with phytoplankton' activity but these have met with little success (Menzel and Spaeth, 01962 for (iron) and Alexander and Corcoran, 1965 (copper)). Despite this lack of success some workers in this field (Dutton et al.; 1974) continue to refer. to the possibility of phytoplankton affecting the spatial distribution of metals in the sea. Considering the amount of work presently devoted to shall trace metal studies in relation to pollution and food chain studies the final subject of trace metals and phytoplankton activity deserves further study ??

Under what conditions would there be significant removal of trace metals of from sea water by phytoplankton? Can present-day surveys; concerned with be trace metal distribution in the sea, possibly hope to relate differences as in trace metal content of sea water to phytoplankton or are the differences observed largely dependent on other factors (i.e. sampling,) inputs or: (0.0 other loss mechanisms). This paper examines these questions by calculating the removal of metal from sea water by phytoplankton under the wide range 0 of conditions observed in the sea. Use is made of existing data on trace metal levels in sea water, concentration factors for phytoplankton; and col the relevant primary productivity and hydrographic, data. In keeping with trace metal studies conducted by this laboratory these calculations will be confined to the toxic heavy metals copper, cadmium and lead; an income

Data used in calculations

"Concentration factors for marine phytoplankton Da a at Jadan to the ant

Concentration factors (C.F.) are defined as the ratio of the element in or associated with an organism to the concentration in the medium under equilibrium conditions.

1

## Concentration of metal in plankton (,ug/gm dry wt)

## Concentration of metal in sea water ( ug/ml)

Laboratory experiments have indicated that the accumulation of metal by plankton is largely dictated by surface adsorption and ion exchange processes (Glooschenko, 1969 and Gutknecht, 1964). Field measurements of metals in phytoplankton are therefore made difficult by the presence of detritus which also accumulates metals and which may be collected in the same sampling net. Recent studies by Riley and Roth (1971) in which they compared levels of metals in cultured phytoplankton and phytoplankton collected from the Irish Sea showed that the latter plankton had an enormously high value of lead which they associated with inorganic suspended material collected in the plankton haul. The most reliable information on the uptake of metals by phytoplankton is usually derived from the use of radioisotopes and a recent review by Rice et al. (1973) gives concentration factors of  $3 \times 10^4$  for copper,  $4 \times 10^4$  for lead and  $1 \times 10^4$  for cadmium.

#### Primary Production

The world's seas may be subdivided into three distinct areas with regard to primary productivity; these are the open oceans, coastal waters areas, and the upwelling zones. Ryther (1969) has given the mean primary productivity (gm C/m<sup>2</sup>/yr) for these areas as 50, 100 and 300 respectively. The high production at a given position in an upwelling zone results from the continual (or sporadic) movement of upwelled water offshore. The production in any parcel of moving water may be no higher than that of the water in a coastal area. Because of this fact subsequent treatment of metal removal by phytoplankton in this paper will be confined to the two general areas known as the open ocean and the coastal zones.

Strickland (1965) has suggested that the depth of the euphotic zones for the open oceans and coastal water areas are 100 and 10 respectively. By combining these data and assuming a uniform production over the year for both areas it is possible to calculate the production of plant life per unit volume of water in a known water column. The relevant data for concentration factors may then be used to assess the % removal of any one metal from this volume of water.

#### Trace Metal Values for Sea Water

Naturally occurring concentrations of trace metals in the sea are still not well defined despite the large amount of work done to date in this field. The most recent survey concerned with the trace metal levels in surface waters in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans (Chester and Stoner, 1974) has gone a long way to establish baseline levels in coastal waters and open ocean areas. Average values for copper and cadmium in these two regions are 0.9 and 0.8 ug Cu/litre and 0.09 and 0.07 ug Cd/litre respectively and average values for lead have been quoted by Chow and Patterson (1966) as 0.03 and 0.07 ug/litre.

#### Calculation of metal removal from sea water by phytoplankton

- Let primary productivity  $(gC/m^2/yr) = P(1 \text{ gm } C=2 \text{ gm } dry \text{ wt})$ metal level in sea water (ug/litre) = M

  - concentration factor = CF
  - . Metal accumulated by phytoplankton/m<sup>2</sup>/yr =  $\frac{2 P \times CF \times M}{10^3}$  /<sup>ug</sup>

Amount of metal in a water column 1 m<sup>2</sup> and of height H metres = MH  $10^3$ , ug

% of metal taken up by phytoplankton from = <u>2 P x CF</u> this water column in one year

10<sup>4</sup>H

This warm not to be each without arise reference in the rubler

Concentration of metal in plankton ( /ug/gmidry, wt) factions fri

C F = Concentration of metal in sea water (/ug/ml)>uscroford off and the

Laboratory experiments have indicated that the accumulation of metal by plankton is largely dictated by surface adsorption and ion exchange processes (Glooschenko, 1969 and Gutknecht, 1964). Field measurements of metals in phytoplankton are therefore made difficult by the presence of detritus which also accumulates metals and which may be collected in the same sampling net. Recent studies by Riley and Roth (1971) in which they compared levels of metals in cultured phytoplankton and phytoplankton collected from the Irish Sea showed that the latter plankton had an enormously high value of lead which they associated with inorganic suspended material collected in the plankton haul. The most reliable information on the uptake of metals by phytoplankton is usually derived from the use of radioisotopes and a recent review by Rice <u>et al.</u> (1973) gives concentration factors of 3 x 10<sup>4</sup> for copper, 4 x 10<sup>4</sup> for lead and 1 x 10<sup>4</sup> for cadmium.

Primary Production moltainer Infan coord to neltosep and contarts rager chall

The world's seas may be subdivided into three distinct areas with regard to primary productivity; these are the open oceans, coastal waters areas, and the upwelling zones. Ryther (1969) has given the mean primary productivity (gm:C/m<sup>2</sup>/yr) for these areas as 50, 100 and 300 respectively. The high production at a given position in an upwelling zone results from the continual (or sporadic) movement of upwelled water offshore. The production in any parcel of moving water may be no higher than that of the water in a coastal area: Because of this fact subsequent treatment of metal removal by phytoplankton in this paper will be confined to the two general areas known as the open ocean and the coastal zones.

strickland (1965); has suggested that the depth of the euphotic zones for the open oceans; and coastal water areas are 100, and 10 respectively. By combining: these data and assuming a uniform production over the year for both areas it is possible to calculate the production of plant, life per, unit volume: of water in a known water; column. The relevant data for concentration factors 'may then be used; to assess the % removal of any, one metal from this

volume of water bus found) cospons of til diw for oved esold the virtes to lost chit stigard . ((19930) 2021 . nrecoved bus rebarroof, br. (nort) Trace: MetaleValues for Sea Water not Jul bloft chit in trashes once cospons to not on first 25 Lating of the virte flat metaleving to vifidicate at et Naturally occurring: concentrations; of trace metals; in the sea are still not well defined: despite; the large amount; of work; done, to date; in this; field. ....

The mostirecent survey concerned, with the trace metal levels, in surface due waters in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans (Chester and Stoner, 1974) has gone a long way to establish; baseline, levels, in coastal-waters and open ball ocean areas.on Average, values for, copper, and; cadmium, in these two, regions, a are:0.9) and:0.8 ug; Cu/litre, and:0.09; and:0.07; ug; Cd/litre, respectively, and average; values; for, lead; have; been; quoted; by Chow, and Patterson, (1966), as at 0.03 and 0.07, ug/litre, a.9.1) aroteal ratio no inshire the priorit Levreede inital colles of metal, removal, from sea, water, by phytoplankton is favorated calculation of metal, removal, from sea, water, by phytoplankton is favorated water primary productivity (gC/m /yr) = Pr(1, gm; C=2, gm; dry; wt); afovel levreede init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is afovel is a const of file envision of the sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is a favorated of init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is a favorated of init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) =: M vitables of visit is favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) a favorated of the favorated of the init metal level in sea water (ug/litre) a favorated of the favorated of t

concentration factor =  $\pi_{c}CF_{p}$ , recipes a Later vycan cluster if at benithes . Metal accumulated by phytoplankton/m<sup>2</sup>/yr =  $\frac{2 P \times CF \times M}{2 \pi (10^{2} \text{ LypLac} \text{ at large stall})}$ 

Amount of metal in a water column  $1 \text{ m}^2$  and of height, H metres = MH  $10^3$  /us

2

this.water.column in one.year.comp and at malangue and "Hy Letahoonon .amoutlings and this water.column in one.year.comp and at malangue and Hy Letahoonon .amoutlings animikitups N.B. Metal concentration M is not really necessary in this calculation.

Using the above equation and the relevant data for P, CF and H, calculations were made of the daily, monthly and yearly rate of metal removal from sea water for the two areas in question. The results of these calculations are presented in Table 1.

#### Results and Discussion

(a) Removal of metal from sea water

#### Open Ocean

The annual removal of these metals from the upper layers of the ocean by phytoplankton assimilation is extremely small. In terms of the entire water column of the ocean, which averages 3,800 m (Riley and Chester, 1972) these amounts of metal represent <0.1% of the entire water column's content of metals.

#### Coastal Waters

Assuming an average water depth of 50 m for coastal waters the % removal of metal annually by phytoplankton assimilation ranges from 4% for Cadmium to 16% for Lead. In an area like the North Sea (average depth of 50 m) this fraction of the metal removed by plankton could scarcely account for the fluctuations in trace metal content of sea water observed between winter and summer.

#### (b) Trace metal variations in relation to phytoplankton activity

Trace metal intercalibration exercises for sea water samples have indicated that most analytical techniques have coefficients of variation of 410%. In one exercise (Brewer and Spencer, 1970) the coefficients of variations for these three metals for a number of laboratories were observed to fall in the ranges, 24% - 79% for cadmium, 1% - 34% for copper and 2% - 36% for lead. The mean coefficients for copper and lead were given as 20.4% and 29.2% respectively. It should be borne in mind however that these figures do not include an error associated with the sampling part of any trace metal programme as they were based on the analysis of a subsample of sea water collected in a large sampling container. The coefficients of variations obtained by laboratories with known expertise however are usually lower than these mean values and fall in the range 10% - 15%. The error associated with sampling has rarely been quantified but for the sake of discussion an additional error of 10%, for this part of any trace metal study, would not be too unrealistic.

Bearing the above data in mind a preliminary examination of the results in Table 1 would indicate that the daily removal of metals by phytoplankton from the open ocean and coastal waters would not be detected by the differences in the trace metal levels in sea water from day to day. Likewise monthly observations on water collected from these areas would show little difference from month to month. In terms of the annual variations, or winter and summer observations, the coastal waters area is the one area in which differences could be observed. This assessment is based on the assumption that the water in these areas does not interact in any way with either (a) surface water which has not been exposed to phytoplankton activity or (b) water below the euphotic zone which has been brought up to the surface by vertical mixing. Either of these mechanisms will cause an apparent reduction in the % of metal removed by the phytoplankton, e.g. if the bottom waters in the North Sea should N.B. Metal concentration M is not really necessary in this calculation.

Jarcian & for natural and not note to not note and did with with wind wind for and the second data for P. CF and H. Loom to calculations were made of the daily, monthly and yearly rate of metal " removal from sea water for the two areas in question." The results of these calculations are presented in Table 1. To the floor and the relevant data for second distance these calculations are presented in Table 1.

#### Coastal Waters

Assuming an average water depth of 50 m for coastal waters the % removal of metal annually by phytoplankton assimilation ranges from 4% for Cadmium to 16% for Lead. In an area like the North Sca (average depth of 50 m) this fraction of the metal removed by plankton could scarcely account for the fluctuations in trace metal content of sea water observed between winter and summer.

#### (b) Trace metal variations in relation to phytoplankton activity

Trace metal intercalibration exercises for sea water samples have indicated that most analytical techniques have coefficients of variation of 410%. In one exercise (Brewer and Spencer, 1970) the coefficients of variations for these three metals for a number of laboratories were observed to fall in the ranges, 24% - 79% for cadmium, 1% - 34% for copper and 2% - 36% for lead. The mean coefficients for copper and lead were given as 20.4% and 29.2% respectively. It should be borne in mind however that these figures do not include an error associated with the sampling part of any trace metal programme as they were based on the analysis of a subsample of sea water collected in a large sampling container. The coefficients of variations obtained by laboratories with known expertise however are usually lower than these mean values and fall in the range 10% - 15%. The error associated with sampling has rarely been quantified but for the sake of discussion an additional error of 10%, for this part of any trace metal study, would not be too unrealistic.

Bearing the above data in mind a preliminary examination of the results in Table 1 would indicate that the daily removal of metals by phytoplankton from the open ocean and coastal waters would not be detected by the differences in the trace metal levels in sea water from day to day. Likewise monthly observations on water collected from these areas would show little difference from month to month. In terms of the annual variations, or winter and summer observations, the coastal waters area is the one area in which differences could be observed. This assessment is based on the assumption that the water in these areas does not interact in any way with either (a) surface water which has not been exposed to phytoplankton activity or (b) water below the euphotic zone which has been brought up to the surface by vertical mixing. Either of these mechanisms will cause an apparent reduction in the % of metal removed by the phytoplankton, e.g. if the bottom waters in the North Sea should

3

4

completely mix with the upper 10 m of water then the figures for % removal of metal by phytoplankton would be reduced by a factor of 5 to give 4% for cadmium, 12% for copper and 16% for lead. Under these conditions the analysis of sea water for trace metals at the beginning and end of the spring bloom would not reveal any significant changes in trace metal content because of the errors involved in analysis.

One may conclude from these calculations and observations that present-day surveys of trace metals in sea water, with their inherent deficiencies regarding sampling and analysis, have little hope of relating trace metal levels to phytoplankton activity in the open sea. In theory the coastal water programmes appear a little more hopeful on the basis of winter and summer observations, providing that one can time one's programmes to start at the beginning of the spring bloom and end just before winter overturn, and that vertical mixing is ignored. In practice, however, the problems of contamination during sampling, deficiencies in analyses and inputs from the atmosphere and runoff (Topping, 1974) will probably be large enough to camouflage any changes in levels caused by phytoplankton activity.

Assuming an average water depth of 50 m for constal waters the promovant of metal animally by phytoplankton assimilation ranges from 40 for Connium to 16% for Lead. In an area like the North Sca (average depth of 50 m) this fraction of the metal removed by plankton could scarcely account for the fluctuations in trace metal content of sea water observe between winter and stumper.

# (b) Erece metal variations in relation to phytopliciton activity

Trace metal intercolibration exercices for see water samples have individed that must analytical techniques have coefficients of variation of 4 40%. In one exercise (Grower and Spencer, 1970) the coefficients of variations for these three metals for a number of Informatories were observed to fall in the ranges, 20% - 70% for cadalur, 7% - 5% for copper and 2% - 5% for lead. The mean coefficients for copper and head were given as 20.4% and figures do not include an error associated with the sampling part of any trace metal programs as they were based on the analysis of a maximple of discussions obtained by laboratories with the coefficients of variations obtained to ylaboratories with the range for a maximple of lower than these mean values and fall in the range for - 15%. The error discussion an additional to borne in the analysis of a maximple of sea vater collected in a large sampling contributes of variations obtained by laboratories with known expertise however are usual lower than these mean values and fall in the range for - 15%. The error discussion an additional error of 40%, for this part of any study, would not be too uncerlished.

Bearing the above data in aind a preliminary examination of the results in Table 1 would indicate that the daily removel of metals by abytonlanktes from the open ocean and constal waters would not be detected by the differences in the trace metal levels in set water from day to day. Differences in the trace metal levels in set water from these area would show little difference from month to month. In terms of the annual the one area in which difference from month to month. In terms of the annual the one area in which differences could be observed one. This assessment is based on the securition that the water in these more does not interact in any way with either (a) surface water which has not been which here phytoplankten activity or (b) water below the exploring these phytoplankten activity or (b) water below the exploring fithers been prought up to the annual reduction in the % of metal removed by mechanisms will cause an approximit reduction in the % of metal removed by

# References

Alexander, J.E. and Corcoran, E.F.	1967	The distribution of copper in tropical sea water. Limnol. Oceanogr., <u>12</u> , 236-242.
Anon.	til enk of a. redio of not:	Marine Environmental Quality. Suggested Research Programme for understanding Man's Effects on the Oceans, NAS-NRC. Ocean Affairs Board. Aug. 1971.
Brewer, P.G. and Spencer, D.W.	1970	Trace Element intercalibration Study. Technical Report Ref. 70-62, 63 pp. (Unpublished manuscript)
Chester, R. and Stoner, J.H.	1974	The distribution of zinc, nickel, manganese, cadmium, copper and iron in some surface waters from the World Ocean. Marine Chemistry 2, 17-32.
Chow, T.J. and Patterson, C.C.	1966	Concentration profiles of barium and lead in Atlantic waters off Bermuda. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett <u>1</u> , 397-400.
Dutton, J.W.R., Jefferies, D.F., Folkard, A.R. and Jones, P.G.W.	1973	Trace Metals in the North Sea (1973). Mar. Poll. Bull. 4(a) 135-138.
Glooschenko, W.A.	1969	Accumulation of <sup>203</sup> Hg by a marine diatom <u>Chaetoceros costatum</u> . J. Physiol., Lond. <u>5</u> , 224-226.
Gutknecht, J.W.	1964	Uptake, retention and loss of zinc-65 and caesium-137 by littoral algae. Thesis, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, 1964.
Menzel, D.W. and Spaeth, J.P.	1962	Occurrence of iron in the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda. Limnol. Oceanogr., 7, 155-158.
Rice, H.V., Leighty, D.A. and McLeod, G.C.	1973	The effects of some trace metals on marine phytoplankton. Critical Review in Microbiology 3(1), 27-49.
Riley, J.P. and Chester, R.	1972	Introduction to Marine Chemistry, 465 pp. Academic Press, London.
Riley, J.P. and Roth, I.	1971	The distribution of trace elements in some species of phytoplankton grown in culture. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K. 51, 63-72.
Ryther, J.H.	1969	Photosynthesis and Fish Production in the Sea (1969). Science, 166, 72-76.
Spencer, D.W. and Brewer, P.G.	1969	The distribution of copper, zinc and nickel in sea water of the Gulf of Maine and the Sargasso Sea. Geochim Cosmochim. Acta, 33, 325-339.
Strickland, J.D.H.	1965	Production of Organic Matter in the Primary stages of the Marine Food Chain. In "Chemical Oceanography" (J.P. Riley and G. Skerrow, eds.) Vol.1, pp 477-595. Acad. Press, London.

5

Topping, G. 1974 The atmospheric input of some heavy authority and the second second metals to the Firth of Clyde and its DEM-EAM same bo and no relation to other inputs. ICES/C.M. 1974/E32.

C. Skerrow, eds.) Vol. 1, pp 477-595.

Table 1

% Uptake of metal from sea water by Phytoplankton

Metal	OPEN OCEAN * (Water Column 1m <sup>2</sup> x 100m)			COASTAL WATERS ** (Water Column 1m <sup>2</sup> x 1Cm)		
	Daily	Monthly	Annually	Daily	Monthly	Annually
Cadmium	0.003	0.08	1	0.05	1.7	20
Copper	0.008	0.25	3	0.16	5.0	60
Lead	0.01	0.33	4	0.22	6.7	80

Based on annual production rate of  $50 \text{gC/m}^2/\text{yr}$  and euphotic zone of 0-100m Based on annual production rate of  $100 \text{gC/m}^2/\text{yr}$  and euphotic zone of 0-10m \*

\*\*